



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/318,460	05/25/1999	MARK O. VOGEL	99.089	1443	
20306	7590 04/13/2004	EXAMINER			
	ELL BOEHNEN HULI	JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE			
300 S. WAC	CKER DRIVE OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,			2666	2,3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/318,460		VOGEL, MARK O.	1			
		Examiner		Art Unit	·			
		Melanie Jag	annathan	2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 o period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event munication. 30) days, a reply within the statuto tatutory period will apply and will e y will, by statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>25 May 1999</i>						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is nor	ı-final.	•				
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-17 and 23-26</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 18-22</u> is/are obj Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from cons e rejected. jected to.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the			<u>.</u>				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any obje							
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected to	g the correction is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have been y documents have been s of the priority documen onal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the No(s)/Mail Date 2-9,11-12,14-22.	r PTO/SB/08)	P) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di i) Notice of Informal F ii) Other:		2)			

Application Number: 09/318,460

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2,5-6, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. US 5,943,604.

Regarding claims 1-2,5,12-17, 23-26,the claimed data-over-cable system with method for optimizing data throughput on an upstream channel at a target packet error ratio by ascertaining signal-to-noise ratio, determining parameters for data transmissions and negotiating use of determined parameters is disclosed by cable system where test data packets are transmitted and packet checker (Figure 3, element 306) accumulates error statistics such as bit error rates and packet error statistics and claimed parameters are disclosed by forward error correction coding and block statistics and quadrature amplitude modulation technique. See columns 4-5, column 9, lines 25-42, column 10, lines 21-67 and column 11.

Regarding claims 6,23, the claimed scheduling of a plurality of quiescent periods on the upstream channel wherein no data is transmitted and measuring a noise floor value during one of these periods and converting measured noise floor value to signal-to-noise ratio is disclosed by check of noise level in cable system during wait state and if there is too much noise then it is determined the signal-to-noise ratio is below an acceptable amount. See column 10, lines 21-48.

Application Number: 09/318,460

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. Chen discloses all of the limitations of the claim except averaging the measured noise floor values to obtain an average noise floor value. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen et al. to obtain an average noise floor value. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this to in order eliminate transmission errors on channel for improved communication.

Page 4

Application Number: 09/318,460

Art Unit: 2666

- Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Gorman et al. US 6,137,793. Chen et al. discloses all of the limitations except for the claimed target packet error ratio selected by user. Gorman et al. disclose quality of service parameters including cell lost ratio is specified by user. See column 10, lines 33-42. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen with user specified cell lost ratio of Gorman et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so support quality of service needs of subscriber system on a user demand basis. See column 6, lines 37-40.
- Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Hunt et al. US 5,400,322. Chen et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for ascertaining payload size and reading parameters for data transmission on upstream channel from table, wherein parameters are associated with signal-to-noise ratio, target packet error ratio and payload size. Hunt et al. disclose bit of input data for transmission with in each block or symbol period are allocated to subchannels in a manner dependent on signal-to-noise ratios of the subchannels so bit error rates of subchannels are substantially equal and the microprocessor control units (Figure 3, elements 36,48) include a bit and energy table (element 40) and bit table (element 52). See columns 1-4. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chen et al. with reading parameters for data transmission from table. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this for improved data transmission.

Art Unit: 2666

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 10-11,18-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eldering US 6,321,384 discloses noise reduction in cable return paths.

Bowcutt et al. US 6,308,328 disclose usage statistics collection for a cable delivery system.

Eldering et al. US 5,881,362 disclose method of ingress noise reduction in cable return paths.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Jagannathan whose telephone number is 703-305-8078. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 703-308-5463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application Number: 09/318,460

Art Unit: 2666

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Me your Dong

Melanie Jagannathan Patent Examiner AU 2666

MJ

M